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#### Abstract

The stability of motion of a system described by Volterra integrodifferential equations is investigated in the critical case when the characteristic equation has a pair of pure imaginary roots. Conditions for instability, analogous to the well-known conditions from the theory of differential equations [1], are derived. (A similar result was established previously in [2] for integrodifferential equations of simpler structure with integral kernels of exponential-polynomial type.) For the proof, several manipulations are used to simplify the original equation and, in particular, to reduce the linearized equation to the form of a differential equation with constant diagonal matrix. (An analogous approach was used to analyse instability for Volterra integrodifferential equations; in the critical case of one zero root in [3, 4].) As an example, the sign of the Lyapunov constant in the problem of the rotational motion of a rigid body with viscoelastic supports is calculated. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.


1. We will consider a system with aftereffect, whose perturbed motion in the neighbourhood of the motion being investigated is described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=A x+\int_{0}^{t} K(t-s) x(s) d s+F(x, \tilde{y}, t), \quad x \in R^{n}, \quad \tilde{y} \in R^{m} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant $n \times n$ matrix, and the $n \times n$ matrix $K(t) \in C$ is defined on the set $I=\{t \in R: t \geqslant 0\}$ and satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K(t)\| \leqslant C \exp (-\beta t), \quad C, \beta=\text { const }>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector-valued function $F(x, \tilde{y}, t): B_{2}(x, \tilde{y}) \times I \rightarrow R^{n}$ in (1.1), where $B_{2}(x, \tilde{y})=\left\{x \in R^{n}, \tilde{y} \in R^{m}\right.$ : $\left.\|x\|<R_{1},\|\tilde{y}\|<R_{2}\right\}$ for given $R_{i}>0(i=1,2)$, is assumed to be holomorphic in $x$ and $\tilde{y}$; moreover, it is assumed that the coefficients of its power series expansion are either continuous and tend exponentially to constants as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, or are constants. The functional $\tilde{y}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{y}=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{K}(t-s) \phi(x(s), s) d s  \tag{1.3}\\
& \phi(x, t): B_{1}(x) \times I \rightarrow R^{k}, \quad B_{1}(x)=\left\{x \in R^{n}:\|x\|<R_{1}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(x, t)$ is a vector-valued function, holomorphic in $x$, with expansion coefficients of the same type as $F(x, \tilde{y}, t)$, and $K(t) \in C$ is an $m \times k$ matrix given for $t \in I$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{K}(t)\| \leqslant \tilde{C} \exp (-x t), \quad \tilde{C}, \quad x=\text { const }>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume that the functions $F$ and $\phi$ are such that, after $x$ has been replaced by $\varepsilon x$ ( $\varepsilon=$ const), this substitution also including Eq. (1.3), the expansion of $F$ in a series of powers of $\varepsilon$ begins with terms of not less than the second order.

The Cauchy problem can be considered for Eq. (1.1)-(1.4) and the Lyapunov stability of the trivial solution can be investigated with respect to disturbance of the initial conditions $x(0)$.

In what follows we shall use the following notation.
If a function $\psi(t)$ satisfies an inequality of the following type for $t \in I$

$$
\|\psi(t)\| \leqslant c \exp (\gamma t), \quad c=\text { const }>0
$$

then we write $\psi(t) \in e_{1}(\gamma)$, that is, $\psi(t)$ belongs to the class $e_{1}(\gamma)$.
Similarly, if $\psi_{1}(t, s)$ is a function defined on the set $J=\left\{(t, s) \in R^{2}: 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t<+\infty\right\}$, satisfying the inequality

$$
\left\|\psi_{1}(t, s)\right\| \leqslant c \exp [\gamma(t-s)]
$$

then we write $\psi_{1}(t, s) \in e_{2}(\gamma)$.
Let $K^{*}(\lambda)$ be the Laplace transform of the matrix $K(t)$. By (1.2), the characteristic equation for (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda E_{n}-A-K^{*}(\lambda)\right)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geqslant-\beta$ and the determinant in (1.5) is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\beta$. We shall assume that Eq. (1.5) has a finite number of roots in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\beta$, say $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}(j=1, \ldots, N)$, numbered in order of increasing real parts, with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}<0(j=1, \ldots, N-2)$ and $\lambda_{N-1}^{\prime}=i \omega, \lambda_{N}^{\prime}=-i \omega, \omega>0$. Suppose that $\lambda_{l}(l=1, \ldots, n)$ are the characteristic exponents of the solutions of the linearized equation (1.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta<\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{n-2}<\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda_{n}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that all the roots of the characteristic equation corresponding to $\lambda_{l}(l=1, \ldots, n)$ are simple and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{s}^{\prime}<\lambda_{1}(s=1, \ldots, N-n)$; some of them may be complex conjugates: $\lambda_{s}=\mu_{s}+i \omega_{s}, \lambda_{s+1}=\mu_{s}-$ $i \omega_{s}(s=1, \ldots, p)$. Then the resolvent of the linearized equation (1.1) may be expressed as [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=\sum_{l=N-n+1}^{N} p_{l} \exp \left(\lambda_{l}^{\prime} t\right)+R_{1}(t), \quad t \in I, \quad p_{l}=\text { const } \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix $R_{1}(t) \in C^{1}$ is such that $R_{1}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\beta_{1}\right)$, where $\beta_{1}>0$ is a constant satisfying the inequality $-\beta<-\beta_{1}<\lambda_{1}$. We shall assume that for some $\beta^{\prime} \geqslant \beta_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d R_{1}(t) / d t \in e_{1}\left(-\beta^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We will now perform a series of transformations that will enable us to single out critical variables. We introduce a fundamental solution matrix $X^{\prime}(t)$ of the linearized equation (1.1) and suppose it to be normal in the Lyapunov's sense [1]. If $x_{l}^{\prime}(t)(l=1, \ldots, n)$ are fundamental solutions (columns of $\left.X^{\prime}(t)\right)$, then the characteristic exponents satisfy the equalities $\chi\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\lambda_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n-2)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n-1}^{\prime}(t)=2(a \cos \omega t-b \sin \omega t)+x_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& x_{n}^{\prime}(t)=2(b \cos \omega t+a \sin \omega t)+x_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constant vectors and $\chi\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right) \leqslant \lambda_{n-2}, k=n-1, n$. Define a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\exp \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \lambda_{j} t\right) \operatorname{det} X^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, as follows from the structure of the fundamental solutions, may be expressed as $d(t)=d_{0}+$ $d_{1}(t)$, where $d_{0}=$ const and $d_{1}(t) \in e_{1}\left(\lambda_{n-2}\right)$. Let us assume that for $t \in I$ this function satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|d(t)| \geqslant d^{\prime}>0, \quad d^{\prime}=\text { const } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the basis conjugate to $x_{l}^{\prime}(t)$, say $y_{l}^{\prime}(t)$, whose vectors are the rows of a matrix $Y^{\prime}(t)=$ $\left(y_{i j}^{\eta}(t)\right)$ such that $Y^{\prime}(t) X^{\prime}(t)=E_{n}$. Define a fundamental solution matrix $X(t-s)\left(X(0)=E_{n}\right)$ of the linearized equation (1.1) with lower limit of integration $s$, with whose help the general solution of Eq. (1.1) may be expressed in terms of the Cauchy integral formula [6].

It follows from the structure of the general solution (1.7) and from (2.3) that the linearized equation (1.1) is regular in Lyapunov's sense. Consequently, we have the equalities $\chi\left(y_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)=-\lambda_{l}(l=1, \ldots, n)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{l j}^{\prime}(t)=\exp \left(-\lambda_{l}^{\prime} t\right)\left(c_{l j}+y_{l j}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& y_{n-1 j}^{\prime}(t)=\delta_{j}(b) \cos \omega t+\delta_{j}(a) \sin \omega t+y_{n-1 j}^{\prime \prime}(t)  \tag{2.4}\\
& y_{n j}^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\delta_{j}(a) \cos \omega t+\delta_{j}(b) \sin \omega t+y_{n j}^{\prime \prime}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{l j}$ are (real or complex) constants, $\delta_{j}(a), \delta_{j}(b)$ are real constants and $y_{k j}^{\prime \prime}(t) \in e_{1}(\alpha), \alpha<0$ $(k, j=1, \ldots, n ; l=1, \ldots, n-2)$. We make the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{l}=x_{l}, \quad y_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{k j}^{\prime}(t) x_{j}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n-2, \quad k=n-1, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients are continuous and bounded for $t \in I$; provided that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(t)=\left|y_{n-1 n-1}^{\prime}(t) y_{n n}^{\prime}(t)-y_{n-1 n}^{\prime}(t) y_{n n-1}^{\prime}(t)\right|= \\
& =\left|\delta_{0}+\delta_{1}(t)\right| \geqslant \delta_{0}^{\prime}>0, \quad \delta_{0}, \delta_{0}^{\prime}=\text { const }, \quad \delta_{1}(t) \in e_{1}(\alpha)(\alpha<0) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

this transformation is of the Lyapunov type. Changing to complex-conjugate variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n-1}=y_{n-1}+i y_{n}, \quad w_{n}=y_{n-1}-i y_{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (2.6), we deduce the following formulae for the transformation inverse to (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{s}=\sum_{k=n-1, n} W_{s k}(t) \exp ( \pm i \omega t) w_{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} Y_{s j}(t) y_{j}, \quad s=n-1, n \\
& W_{s k}(t)=W_{s k}^{(0)}+W_{s k}^{(1)}(t), \quad Y_{s j}(t)=Y_{s j}^{(0)}+Y_{s j}^{(1)}(t) ; \quad W_{s k}^{(0)}, Y_{j}^{(0)}=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$

$W_{s k}^{(1)}(t), Y_{s j}^{(1)}(t) \in e_{1}(-\gamma)$ for some $\gamma>0$.
The plus sign is taken for $k=n$ and the minus for $k=n-1$.
3. We now transform the subsystem for the non-critical variable $y=\operatorname{col}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}\right)$. To that end we introduce a Lyapunov-normal fundamental solution matrix $X_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ by deleting the ( $n-1$ )th and $n$th rows and columns. In the same way, we derive from the matrix $X(t-s)$ a fundamental matrix $X_{2}(t-s)$ ( $X_{2}(0)=E_{n-2}$ ) for this subsystem.

Let $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{N-n+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{N-2}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{N-n+l}^{\prime}=\lambda_{i}(l=1, \ldots, n-2)$. Let us assume that for $t \in I$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(X_{2}^{\prime} t\right) \exp \left(-\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} t\right)\right| \geqslant \delta_{2}^{\prime}>0, \quad \delta_{2}^{\prime}=\text { const } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the determinant in this inequality tends exponentially to a constant as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
We introduce a matrix $Y_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ such that $Y_{2}^{\prime}(t) X_{2}^{\prime}(t)=E_{n-2}$ and make the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\exp \left(\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} t\right) Y_{2}^{\prime}(t) y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients that are bounded and continuous for $t \in I$ and tend to constants as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. After the transformations (2.5), (2.7) and (3.2) have been applied we obtain, using Lemma 1 of [3], equations analogous to (2.2) and (3.4) of [2]; of these equations, we will write here only those for the critical variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d w_{k}}{d t}=\int_{0}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{n-1 j}(t, s) \pm i \varphi_{n j}(t, s)\right) F_{j}^{\prime}(z(s), w(s), \hat{y}(s), s) d s+ \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{n-1 j}^{\prime}(t) \pm i y_{n j}^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{j}^{\prime}(z, w, \hat{y}, t), \quad k=n-1, n ; \quad w=\operatorname{col}\left(w_{n-1}, w_{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{y}(t)$ is the integral (1.3) transformed to the variables $z, w$ and the functions $F_{j}^{\prime}$ are the components of the vector $F$ in (1.1), transformed to the variables $z, w$. The upper sign in (3.3) corresponds to
$k=n-1$. In Eqs (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k j}(t, s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} y_{k l}^{\prime}(t) x_{l j}(t-s)\right), \quad k=n-1, n \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.3), as well as the equations for the non-critical variables, corresponding to the complexconjugate elements of the matrix $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, are complex conjugate. By (2.4), we have

$$
y_{n-1 j}^{\prime}(t) \pm i y_{n j}^{\prime}(t)=c_{j}^{\prime} \exp ( \pm i \omega t)+\tilde{y}_{j}^{\prime}(t), \quad c_{j}^{\prime}=\mathrm{const}, \quad \tilde{y}_{j}^{\prime}(t) \in e_{1}(-\gamma), \gamma>0
$$

It can also be shown, using (3.4) and the relationship between $y_{k i}^{\prime}(t)$ and $x_{i j}(t)$ and performing the necessary calculations, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n-1 j}(t, s)+i \varphi_{n j}(t, s)=\exp (i \omega t) \Phi_{j}(t-s)+\tilde{\Phi}_{j}(t, s), \quad \Phi_{j}(t) \in e_{1}(-\gamma) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{j}(t, s)$ is the sum of terms of the form $\varphi_{1}(t) \varphi_{2}(t, s)$, with $\varphi_{1}(t) \in e_{1}\left(-\gamma_{1}\right), \varphi_{2}(t, s) \in e_{2}\left(-\gamma_{2}\right)$ for $\gamma_{1}>0, \gamma_{2}>0$.

All the coefficients $\xi(t)$ of terms in (3.3) and in the subsystem for the non-critical variables that depend only on $z_{l}(l=1, \ldots, n-2)$ and are outside the integral sign have the structure $\xi(t)=$ $\xi_{0}+\xi_{1}(t)$, where $\xi_{0}$-const, $\xi_{1} \in e_{1}(-\gamma)$ for some $\gamma>0$, and all the integral kernels belong to the class $e_{2}(-\gamma)$.

The scheme of the subsequent discussion is more or less a repetition of the proof presented in [2]. In particular, integration by parts and a substitution of the type

$$
u=z+U_{4 m}(w, t)+\sum_{s m(0,1)=1} w_{n-1}^{k} w_{n}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} N^{m(0.1)}(t, s) w_{n-1}^{k 1}(s) w_{n}^{l \prime}(s) u(s) d s+U_{2 m+1}^{\prime}(w, t)
$$

where $k, l, k l$ and $l 1$ are non-negative integers, $m(0,1)$ is the set of these numbers, $s m(0,1)$ is their sum, $U_{4 m}(w, s)$ is a polynomial in $w$, of degree $4 m$, with continuous bounded coefficients, and $U_{2 m+1}^{\prime}(w, t)$ is a finite sum of integral terms (of the indicated type) of degree greater than two, linear in $u$, containing multiple integrals with continuous kernels of the class $e_{2}(-\gamma)$ for $\gamma>0$; all terms depending only on the variables $w_{n-1}$ and $w_{n}$ up to some order $4 m$ inclusive may be successively excluded from the equation for the non-critical variables, as can integral terms that are linear in a non-critical variable of order up to and including $2 m+1$. We write the equation, thus transformed, as

$$
d u / d t=\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} u+U(u, w, t)
$$

where the integral operator $U$ has the properties described above.
After a series of simplifying transformations, enabling us to reduce terms of order up to $2 m+1$ on the right of Eqs (3.3) to an autonomous form, these equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& d w_{j}^{\prime} / d t=\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{j}^{(k)} r^{2 k} w_{j}^{\prime}+\phi_{j}\left(u, w^{\prime}, t\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& w^{\prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(w_{n-1}^{\prime}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right), \quad C_{j}^{(k)}=\mathrm{const}, \quad r^{2}=w_{n-1}^{\prime} w_{n}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w^{\prime}$ is a new critical variable, $\phi_{j}\left(u, w^{\prime}, t\right)$ is an integral operator such that the expansion in powers of $\varepsilon$ for $\phi_{j}\left(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon w^{\prime}, t\right)$ begins with terms of the second order in $\varepsilon$ and all terms of order up to and including $2 m+1$ vanish when $u=0$. Note that Eqs (3.6) with $j=n-1$ and $j=n$ are complex conjugates.

On the basis of (3.6), we set up the real equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \frac{d r}{d t}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{2 k+1} r^{2 k+2}+R^{(3)}\left(\nu, v^{\prime}, t\right)+R^{(2 m+3)}\left(v, v^{\prime}, t\right), \quad g_{2 k+1}=\text { const } \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=\operatorname{col}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-2}\right)$ and $v^{\prime}=\operatorname{col}\left(v_{n-1}, v_{n}\right)$ are vectors of real variables corresponding to $u$ and $w^{\prime}$, and $R^{(2 m+3)}$ are real integral operators such that $R^{(3)}\left(\varepsilon v, \varepsilon v^{\prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial in $\varepsilon$ of degree $2 m$ +2 that begins with third-order terms, such that $R^{(3)}\left(0, v^{\prime}, t\right) \equiv 0$, and the expansion of $R^{(2 m+3)}(\varepsilon v$, $\left.\varepsilon v^{\prime}, t\right)$ in powers of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ begins with terms of order $2 m+3$.

Suppose that $g_{3}=\ldots=g_{2 m-1}=0$ and $g_{2 m+1}>0$ in Eq. (3.7).
As in [2, 3], one can now use Chetayev's instability theorem [7,8], which is true for integrodifferential equations of the type considered, to prove that the unperturbed motion is unstable.

Theorem. Suppose that the characteristic equation (1.5) for Eq. (1.1)-(1.4) has a finite number of roots in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\beta$, say $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}(j=1, \ldots, N)$, where $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}<0(j=1, \ldots, N-2)$ and $\lambda_{N-1}^{\prime}=i \omega, \lambda_{N}^{\prime}=-i \omega$; suppose moreover that all the roots $\lambda_{s}^{\prime}(s=1, \ldots, n)$ corresponding to characteristic exponents $\lambda_{s}(1.6)$ are simple and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{l}^{\prime}<\lambda_{1}(l=1, \ldots, N-n)$. Let conditions (1.8), (2.3), (2.6) and (3.1) hold.

Then, if the first non-zero constant in Eq. (3.7) is $g_{2 m+1}>0$, the trivial solution of Eq. (1.1)-(1.4) is unstable.
4. We will now investigate the stability of the equilibrium position in an example analogous to that considered in [9]. The rigid body in this example is a shaft $A B$ whose mass distribution is the same in each cross-section. Rigidly fastened to the ends of the shaft are two viscoelastic bodies $O A$ and $B O_{1}$ (each is a shaft of unit length and negligibly small mass), whose ends are fixed. The entire system can rotate about the axis $O O_{1}$, which is assumed to be undeformable. Let $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ be the angle of rotation of the shaft, $r$ the distance of the centre of mass of the shaft from the axis $O O_{1}, \mathrm{mg}$ its mass and $J$ its moment of inertia about the axis $O O_{1}$. This rigid body is moving in a uniform gravitational field under the action of the viscoelastic forces exerted on it at its ends $A$ and $B$ by the bodies $O A$ and $B O_{1}$. The torque $M$ of these forces is assumed to have the same form as in $[10,11]$, on the assumption that the stress-strain relationship is given by a Volterra-Fréchet series of which only the first terms affecting the conditions derived below are retained, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=-k \vartheta+\int_{0}^{1} K^{\prime}(t-s) \vartheta(s) d s+\int_{000}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{K}(t-u, t-v, t-w) \vartheta(u) \vartheta(v) \vartheta(w) d u d v d w \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $k$ is the modulus of elasticity for twisting and $K^{\prime}(t)$ and $\tilde{K}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ are relaxation kernels). Let us assume [11, p. 606] that

$$
\tilde{K}(t-u, t-v, t-w)=K^{\prime \prime}(t-u) K^{\prime \prime}(t-v) K^{\prime \prime}(t-w)
$$

We will investigate the stability in rotational motion of the equilibrium position of the rigid body when its centre of mass is in its upper position, $\vartheta=0$. The equations of perturbed motion may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \vartheta}{d t}=\vartheta_{1}, \quad \frac{d \vartheta_{1}}{d t}=-K \vartheta+\int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t-s) \vartheta(s) d s-m_{1} \vartheta^{3}+\tilde{y}^{3}+o\left(\vartheta^{5}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& K=\frac{k-m g r}{J}, \quad K_{1}(t)=\frac{K^{\prime}(t)}{J}, \quad K_{2}=\frac{K^{\prime \prime}(t)}{J^{1 / 3}}, \quad m_{1}=\frac{m g r}{3!J}, \quad \tilde{y}=\int_{0}^{t} K_{2}(t-s) \vartheta(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that the kernel $K^{\prime \prime}(t)$ satisfies an estimate of the form (1.4). Assume that the characteristic equation for (4.2) has two pure imaginary roots $\pm i \omega$, the remaining roots having negative real parts and satisfying the conditions of the theorem.
After suitable calculations, we see that the sign of the constant $g_{3}$ is determined by that of the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[-m_{1}+\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{2}(\tau) e^{i \omega \tau} d \tau\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{2}(\tau) e^{-i \omega \tau} d \tau\right]\left[\left(a_{1}-i b_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_{2}(s) d s+\frac{i}{2 \omega}\right]\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ are the components of the vectors $a$ and $b$ defined in (2.1), and $\Phi_{2}(s)=\Phi_{2}^{(1)}(s)+i \Phi_{2}^{(2)}(s)$ is the function occurring in representation (3.5).
If $g_{3}^{\prime}>0$, our theorem implies that the equilibrium is unstable.
If the kernel $K(t)$ has an exponential-polynomial structure, the function $\Phi_{2}(t)$ can be evaluated explicitly, using the well-known general solution of the linearized equation. Thus suppose that $K_{1}(t)$ has the form

$$
K_{1}(t)=Q_{1} \exp \left(-\gamma_{1} t\right)+Q_{2} \exp \left(-\gamma_{2} t\right)
$$

where the constants $Q_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}>0, Q_{2}<0, \quad Q_{1} \geqslant \mid Q_{2} 1, \quad \gamma_{1}>\gamma_{2}>0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these conditions, the characteristic equation

$$
\Phi(\lambda) \equiv \lambda^{2}+K-\frac{Q_{1}}{\lambda+\gamma_{1}}-\frac{Q_{2}}{\lambda+\gamma_{2}}=0
$$

has a pair of pure imaginary roots $\pm i \omega$, where

$$
\omega^{2}=K-\chi_{0}, \quad \chi_{0}=\frac{Q_{1}+Q_{2}}{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}}
$$

and two roots $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ with negative real parts

$$
\lambda_{1,2}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \pm\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)^{2}-\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-\chi_{0}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

provided that the following relation exists between the parameters of the system

$$
K=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+\chi_{0}^{-1}\left(\chi_{0}^{2}-Q_{1} \gamma_{2}-Q_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

Suppose, for simplicity, that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ are real numbers. Then the solution of the linearized equation of perturbed motion may be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta(t)=\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}-i \alpha_{2}\right) \exp (i \omega t)\left(i \omega \vartheta(0)+\vartheta_{1}(0)\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}\right) \exp (-i \omega t)\left(-i \omega \vartheta(0)+\vartheta_{1}(0)\right)\right]+\sum_{k=1,2} \exp \left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime} t\right)\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \vartheta(0)+\vartheta_{1}(0)\right) / \Phi^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are determined by the following relation (the prime indicates a derivative of $\Phi$ )

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(i \omega)=\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}
$$

Using (4.5), we can calculate the functions $\Phi_{2}^{(k)}(t)(k=1,2)$, which can be shown to satisfy the identity

$$
a_{1} \Phi_{2}^{(1)}(t)+b_{1} \Phi_{2}^{(2)}(t) \equiv 0
$$

Then the sign of $g_{3}^{\prime}(4.3)$ will be the same as that of the quantity $g_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3}^{\prime \prime}=-\phi_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{2}(s) \sin \left(\omega_{s}\right) d s  \tag{4.6}\\
& \phi_{0}=2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-b_{1} \Phi_{2}^{(1)}(s)+a_{1} \Phi_{2}^{(2)}(s)\right) d s+\omega^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

To compute the constant $\phi_{0}$, we have the following formula

$$
\phi_{0}=\frac{1}{\omega}\left(1-\sum_{k=1,2} \frac{\omega^{2}+\lambda_{k}^{\prime 2}}{\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right)
$$

Suppose, for example, in accordance with (4.4), that $\gamma_{1}=3 \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{0}, Q_{1}=2 \gamma_{0}^{3}, Q_{2}=-\gamma_{0}^{3}$, where $\gamma_{0}>0$. In that case, $\phi_{0}=12 \gamma_{0} /(13 \sqrt{ } 7)>0$, and the instability condition implies that the integral in the formula (4.6) for $g_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ is negative.
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